

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/864,813	ITOH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Jakobson. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 September 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of Yamamoto.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative contacted the examiner to clarify the prior art utilized in the rejection. The number of the JP 2001-081166 does not correspond to the inventor name. The applicant was informed to go by the patent number and not the inventor name.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required